

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/598,110	00	5/21/2000	Terry Pullaro	PULT 9188 US	PULT 9188 US 7336	
1688	7590	11/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		WOODRUFF	HUNTER, ALVIN A			
12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200 ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615			200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2711		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/598,110	PULLARO, TERRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alvin A. Hunter	3711		

	Alvin A. Hunter	3/11	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, a ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iii)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	16.07(f).	E FINOT KEFET WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply orig	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ate of the final rejection, (even if timely filed,
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS		.	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor 	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		TE below),	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		Alman, Elada	P 11
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [•	_
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.	ili be emered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a N sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	a	
13. Other:		EUGENE KIN	re
	SUPE	ERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 6 includes language that was not present before the close of prosecution and requires further consideration and/or search.